

1 Gregory J. Walch
2 Nevada Bar Number 4780
3 Email: GWalch@Nevadafirm.com
4 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
6 Telephone: 702/791-0308
7 Facsimile: 702/791-1912

E-Filed on December 9, 2006

5 *Attorney for Gregory J. Walch and Shauna M. Walch,
6 Trustees of the Gregory J. and Shauna M. Walch
Family Trust*

7 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9
10 Jointly Administered
11 Chapter 11 Cases
12 Judge Linda B. Riegle Presiding

**DECLARATION OF GREGORY J.
WALCH IN SUPPORT OF LIMITED
OBJECTION TO PLAN**

13 In re:

14 USA Commercial Mortgage Company
15 06-10725 – Lead Case

Date of Hearing: December 19, 2006
Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

16 USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
17 06-10726

Place: Courtroom No. 2, Third Floor
Foley Federal Building
300 Las Vegas Blvd., S.
Las Vegas, NV 89101

18 USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
19 06-10727

Affecting:
 All Debtors
Or only:
 USA Commercial Mortgage Company
 USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
 USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund,
LLC
 USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

20 USA Securities, LLC
21 06-10729

22 Debtors.

23 1. I am a co-trustee of the Gregory J. and Shauna M. Walch Family Trust (“the
24 Trust”). I am submitting this declaration in support of the Trust’s Limited Objection to the Plan
25 proposed by Debtors, and make this declaration based upon my personal knowledge of the facts
26 set forth herein.

27 2. In March 2005, I met with USA Commercial Mortgage’s broker and employee,
28 Tom Stewart, to discuss the possibility of having the Trust lend money to Roam Development

1 Group, LP for a condominium conversion project in Texas. Mr. Stewart obtained my signature
2 on a USACM Loan Servicing Agreement, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as
3 Exhibit "A" (the "Service Agreement"), and provided me with information about the loan,
4 including USACM's offering circular outlining the net interest to be paid by the borrower to the
5 Trust under the loan documents. A true and correct copy of the offering circular and Roam
6 Development promissory note is attached hereto as Exhibit "B". I discussed the Service
7 Agreement with Mr. Stewart during the initial March 2005 meeting prior to signing it. Mr.
8 Stewart explained to me at that time that the service fees would be calculated on a loan-by-loan
9 basis based upon the offer made by USACM in individual offering circulars and would be
10 derived by subtracting from payments actually made by the borrower under the note (interest,
11 late fees, penalties, collection costs, etc.) the net interest promised in any individual offering
12 circular. In the case of the Roam Development loan, the net interest offered to Direct Lenders
13 was 12%, as was the interest under the note. Accordingly, USACM would not be taking any
14 service fees out of interest payments by the borrower. However, Mr. Stewart explained that
15 Roam Development paid USACM a substantial loan generation fee, and that was the basis for
16 USACM putting together and servicing the loan. As set forth in the Servicing Agreement,
17 USACM's income was derived largely from loan generation fees, not service fees.

18 3. USACM, by and through its agent Mr. Stewart, offered the Trust several loan
19 opportunities from March 2005 through January 2006, many of which the Trust rejected for
20 various reasons. The Trust did choose to enter three additional loans, however, including
21 Binford Medical, Marlton Square, and Foxhills 216. In each case, as with Roam Development,
22 the Trust accepted USACM's offer to pay a certain net interest amount on money loaned, which
23 offers and promises were fully set forth in individual offering circulars. True and correct copies
24 of the offering circulars and notes for Binford, Marlton Square, and Foxhills 216 are attached
25 hereto as Exhibits "C", "D", and "E", respectively.

26 4. As a result of the manner in which the four loans were documented and sold to the
27 Trust, USACM and the Trust entered into separate agreements for each loan (the "Loan
28 Agreements"). Under the Loan Agreements, USACM is entitled to the following as service fees

1 (exclusive of any late fees, penalties or collection costs): 0% of the outstanding principal amount
2 (per annum) for the Roam Development Group loan (12% note - 12% net promised in offering
3 circular), 1% of the outstanding principal amount (per annum) for the Binford Medical loan
4 (13% note - 12% net promised in offering circular), 0.5% of the outstanding principal amount
5 (per annum) for the Marlton Square loan (13% note - 12.5% net promised in offering circular),
6 and 1% of the outstanding principal amount (per annum) for the Foxhills 216 loan (13.5% note -
7 12.5% net promised in offering circular). In order for USACM to generate service fees under the
8 four loans offered on a net interest basis to the Trust, the Trust must first net the promised
9 interest on its loans.

10 5. Based upon information and belief, USACM is not properly calculating the
11 service fees to which USACM is entitled. Instead, USACM is accruing service fees where loans
12 are in default such that USACM receives a proportionately higher share of interest than that to
13 which USACM is entitled when payments are eventually made. Further, as set forth in the
14 attached Exhibit "F", dated December 1, 2006, and received by me on December 4, 2006,
15 USACM is calculating service fees based upon the 3% service fees cap set forth in the Service
16 Agreement rather than the amount offered by USACM and agreed to by the Trust as a basis for
17 each Loan Agreement.

18 6. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America
19 that the foregoing is true and correct.

20 Dated this 9th Day of December, 2006.

21 
22 _____
23 Gregory J. Walch

24
25
26
27
28